



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,007	11/04/2003	Charles Allerson	ISIS-5325	5641
32650	7590	05/05/2006	EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ZARA, JANE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/701,007	ALLERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jane Zara	1635	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 November 2002.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-101 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 1-101 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1/04 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4/04 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/05/05, 4/05, 3/05, 3/05, 1/05, 1/05 6) Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the communication filed 11-5-02.

Claims 1-101 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elbashir et al, Fosnaugh et al and Morrissey et al.

The claims are drawn to methods of inhibiting gene expression in a tissue or an animal comprising administration of compositions comprising siRNA oligonucleotides comprising various motifs comprising nucleosides different in their 2'-substituent groups,

and optionally include H, OH as first and second types of nucleosides, or alternatively comprising motifs of 2'-substituent groups which are optionally fluoro or methoxyalkyl groups of various alkyl chain lengths, and which oligonucleotides optionally further comprise, in addition to different motifs of differing 2'-substituent containing motifs, internucleotide linkage modifications comprising phosphorothioate internucleotide linkages, and which oligonucleotides optionally further comprise 3'-and/or 5'-terminal caps, optionally including inverted deoxy abasic moieties, and which compositions further comprise RISC.

Elbashir et al (EMBO J., vol. 20, No. 23, pages 6877-6888, 2001) teach methods of target gene inhibition in embryo lysates comprising siRNA molecules comprising 2'-deoxy and 2'-O-methyl substitutions. Elbashir et al teach a correlation between the placement of 2'-substitutions on the oligonucleotides and retaining siRNA activity (see esp. the abstract on p. 6877, fig. 8 and text on p. 6885).

Fosnaugh et al (US 2003/0143732) teach various motifs and configurations of 2'-modifications, including fluoro or methoxyalkyl groups of various alkyl chain lengths, and which oligonucleotides optionally further comprise, in addition to different motifs of differing 2'-substituent containing motifs, internucleotide linkage modifications comprising phosphorothioate internucleotide linkages, and which oligonucleotides optionally further comprise 3'-and/or 5'-terminal caps and optionally including inverted deoxy abasic moieties on the termini, and the effect of arrangements of these different modifications on siRNA ability to bind to and inhibit target gene expression in the presence of RISC. Fosnaugh et al also teach compositions comprising modified and

unmodified siRNAs and RISC for target gene inhibition see p. 1, 3-4, 6-9, p. 16 and figures 4 and 5, claim 30).

Morrissey et al (US 2003/0206887) teach various ways of designing and optimizing 2'-O-modifications on siRNA, including fluoro or methoxyalkyl groups of various alkyl chain lengths, and abasic, inverted abasic termini and 5' and 3' capped termini, and the effect of various motifs or arrangements of these 2'substituents and modified phosphorothioate internucleotide linkages on target gene inhibition by siRNA in compositions further comprising RISC (see fig. 4 and 5, page 1, right col., p. 6, right col., p. 9, p. 20-21, claims 20-25).

It would have been obvious to incorporate various motifs and configurations of 2'-modifications, including fluoro or methoxyalkyl groups of various alkyl chain lengths, and which oligonucleotides optionally further comprise, in addition to different motifs of differing 2'-substituent containing motifs, internucleotide linkage modifications comprising phosphorothioate internucleotide linkages, and which oligonucleotides optionally further comprise 3'-and/or 5'-terminal caps and optionally including inverted deoxy abasic moieties on the termini into siRNA molecules for enhancing their target binding and stability, yet minimizing inactivation of the siRNA ability to inhibit target gene expression because Elbashir et al, Fosnaugh et al and Morrissey et al all teach the designing and testing of various arrangements of modified siRNA for their ability to inhibit target gene expression. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected that the siRNA modified at appropriate configurations would provide target gene cleavage in the presence of an appropriate target gene sequence and in the presence of

appropriately modified siRNA and RISC. One of ordinary skill in the art would have produced various motifs as a matter of design choice and optimizing 2'-O modified motifs within the SiRNA while maintaining its SiRNA activity would have been a matter of design choice. One of ordinary skill in the art would have designed and tested such modification motifs because it was well known in the art at the time of the instant invention that incorporation of 2'-O-methoxy alky or 2'-deoxy, or 2'-fluoro modifications at appropriate positions within the siRNA allows for enhanced oligonucleotide stability, target binding and the trigger of target gene degradation by RISC. One of ordinary skill in the art would also have been motivated to incorporate 5', and/or 3' caps, including abasic and inverted abasic nucleotide or other terminal well known caps because these modifications were well known in the art to protect oligonucleotides from degradation, as taught previously by Morrissey. Therefore the instant invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time it was made.

Conclusion

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1635 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone number for the Group is 571-273-8300. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO

DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jane Zara** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-0765**. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (571) 272-0811. Any inquiry regarding this application should be directed to the patent analyst, Katrina Turner, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0564. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jane Zara
4-28-06

JZ *TC 1600*
JANE ZARA, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER